Semianalytical Propagation Of Satellite Orbits About An Arbitrary Central Body

Paul J. Cefola

Precision mean element (PME) satellite theories play a key role in orbit dynamics analyses. These theories employ:

- nonsingular orbital elements
- comprehensive force models
- Generalized Method of Averaging
- Numerical interpolation concepts

The Draper Semianalytical Satellite Theory (DSST) (Refs. 1 - 6), whose development was led by the author, and the independently-developed Universal Semianalytical Method (USM) (Ref. 7) are examples of such theories. These theories provide the capability to tailor the force modeling to meet the desired computational speed vs. accuracy trade-off. The flexibility of such theories is demonstrated by their ability to include complicated atmosphere density models and spacecraft models in the perturbation theory context. The value of high speed satellite theories, in this era of computational plenty, is that they allow new ways of looking at astrodynamical problems such as orbit design (Refs. 8, 9) and atmosphere density updating (Refs. 10, 11).

In the mid to late-1980's, the geodynamics community led the development of very precise geopotential models such as GEM T2 and GEM T3 (Ref. 12), and with the subsequent analysis of the TOPEX flight data, JGM-2 and JGM-3 (Ref. 13). These were high degree and order geopotentials, at least 50 x 50. In 1993, the DSST implementation in the GTDS program was extended to include the 50 \times 50 geopotential models (Ref. 14). The 50 x 50 geopotential, J2000 integration coordinate system, and solid Earth tide capabilities were integrated in GTDS by Scott Carter (Ref. 15). This capability demonstrated 1 m accuracy versus the TOPEX Precise Orbit Ephemerides. Subsequently the DSST Standalone program was also extended to include high degree and order geopotential models (Ref. 5). More recently GTDS has been hosted in the Linux PC environment. However, all of these efforts have been limited to modeling the motion of an artificial Earth satellite. They did not consider the additional complexities associated with lunar, planetary, or other natural satellite orbiters. Such complexities include:

- additional coordinate systems (associated with the direction of the north pole of rotation and the prime meridian of the new central bodies) (Ref. 16)
- normalized gravity model coefficients (desirable for high degree and order fields) (Ref. 17)
- indirect oblateness

- generation of large degree and order spherical harmonics (necessitated by the mass distributions of the central bodies) (Ref. 18)
- different central body rotation rates and their impact on the partitioning of short periodic and mean element perturbations (Ref. 19)
- different atmosphere density models

The production of high degree and order gravity models for central bodies other than the Earth is now a reality. Consider the 165 x 165 model for the lunar gravity field (Ref. 20), the 80 x 80 model for the Mars gravity field (Ref. 21), and the 180 x 180 model for the Venus gravity field (Ref. 22). The existence of these high degree and order fields for central bodies other than the Earth motivates the extension of the DSST to deal with arbitrary central bodies.

References

- McClain, W. D., "A Recursively Formulated First-Order Semianalytic Artificial Satellite Theory Based on the Generalized Method of Averaging," Volume 1 (The Generalized Method of Averaging Applied to the Artificial Satellite Problem), Computer Sciences Corporation CSC/TR-77/6010, 1977 (an updated version of this document is available from W. D. McClain at the Draper Laboratory).[the 'blue book']
- McClain, W. D., "A Recursively Formulated First-Order Semianalytic Artificial Satellite Theory Based on the Generalized Method of Averaging," Volume 2 (The Explicit Development of the First-Order Averaged Equations of Motion for the Nonspherical Gravitational and Nonresonant Third-Body Perturbations), Computer Sciences Corporation CSC/TR-78/6001, 1978 [the 'yellow book']
- 3. Early, L. W., "A Portable Orbit Generator Using Semianalytical Satellite Theory," AIAA Paper 86-2164-CP, AIAA/AAS
 Astrodynamics Conference, Williamsburg, VA, August 1986.
- 4. Proulx, R. J., Mathematical Description of the Tesseral Resonance and Resonant Harmonic Coefficient Solve-For Capabilities, Draper Laboratory Intralab Memorandum NSWC-001-15Z-RJP, 13 April 1982
- 5. Neelon, J. G., P. J. Cefola, and R. J. Proulx, "Current Development of the Draper Semianalytical Satellite Theory Standalone Orbit Propagator Package", AAS Pre-print 97-731, presented at the AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Conference, Sun Valley, ID, August 1997.
- 6. Danielson, D. A., B. Neta, and L. W. Early, Semianalytic Satellite Theory (SST): Mathematical Algorithms, Naval Postgraduate School, NPS Report NPS-MA-94-001, January 1994.

- 7. Yurasov, V., Universal Semi-analytic Satellite Motion Propagation Method, presented at the US/Russian Space Surveillance Workshop, Poznan, Poland, 5 July 1996.
- 8. Proulx, R., J. Smith, J. E. Draim, and P. Cefola., "EllipsoTM Gear Array Coordinated Elliptical/Circular Constellations," AIAA Paper 98-4383-CP, AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Specialist Conference, Boston, MA, August 1998
- 9. Smith, James E., Application Of Optimization Techniques To The Design And Maintenance Of Satellite Constellations, SM Thesis, Department Of Aeronautics And Astronautics, MIT, June 1999.
- 10. Granholm, George R., Near-Real Time Atmospheric Density Model Correction Using Space Catalog Data, SM Thesis, Department Of Aeronautics And Astronautics, MIT, June 2000
- 11. Bergstrom, Sarah E., An Algorithm for Reducing Atmospheric Density Model Errors Using Satellite Observation Data in Real-Time, SM Thesis, Department Of Aeronautics And Astronautics, MIT, June 2002
- 12. Lerch, F. J., et al, Geopotential Models of the Earth from Satellite Tracking, Altimeter, and Surface Gravity Observations: GEM-T3 and GEM-T3S. NASA Technical Memorandum 104555, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, January 1992.
- 13. Nerem, R. S., et al., Gravity Model Development for TOPEX/POSEIDON: Joint Gravity Models 1 and 2, J. Geophys. Res., , 24,421-24,447, 1994.
- 14. Fonte, Daniel J. Implementing a 50x50 Gravity Field Model in an Orbit Determination System. Master of Science Thesis. Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-1169. June 1993.
- 15. Carter, Scott S., Precision Orbit Determination From GPS Receiver Navigation Solutions, Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-1260, June 1996.
- 16. Seidelmann, P. K., et al, Report of the Working Group on Cartographic Coordinates and Rotational Elements of the Planets and Satellites: 2000, Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy, vol. 82, pp. 83-110, 2002.
- 17. Davis, J. H., Lunar Gravitational Field Estimation and the Effects of Mismodeling upon Lunar Satellite Orbit Prediction, Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, June 1993.
- 18. Libbrecht, Ken G., Practical Considerations for the Generation of Large-Order Spherical Harmonics (Research Note), Solar Physics, Vol. 99, Issue: 1-2, pp. 371-373, 1985.

- 19. Brumberg, Analytical Methods for the Orbits of Artificial Satellites of the Moon, Celestial Mechanics, vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 197-221, March 1971.
- 20. Konopliv, A. S., et al, Recent Gravity Models as Result of the Lunar Prospector Mission, Icarus 150, 1-18, 2001.
- 21. Lemoine, F. G., et al, An Improved Solution Of The Gravity Field Of Mars (GMM-2B) From Mars Global Surveyor, J. Geophys. Res., 106 (E10), 23359-23376, October 25, 2001.
- 22. Konopliv, A. S., et al, Venus Gravity: 180th Degree and Order Model, Icarus 139, 3-18, 1999.